

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,950	03/13/2001	Satoshi Banno	Q63572	9028
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037		4	EXAMINER	
			CHUONG, TRUC T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179 DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

15	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advis ry Action	09/803,950	BANNO, SATOSHI			
navio ly notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Truc T Chuong	2179			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appl	ication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in to an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	tion(s):				
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		nsidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 8-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .					
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.			
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:					
	CHDEDI	ATHER R. HERNDON ISORY PATENT EXAMINER INOLOGY CENTER 2100			
Patent and Trademark Office	- ILUM	UAOFOA			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Final Office Action of May 6, 2004 was properly made because Murray was cited in the Office Action of Feb. 11, 2004 as well as the Final rejection of May 6, 2004. The Applicant should have been expected to consider the reference as a whole and expect elaboration of the rejection based upon the Murray reference as a whole. Thus the Finality of the Office Action of May 6,2004 was appropriate.

The reply does not overcome the final rejection which explained clearly that Murray teaches the ability of displaying more than one Web pages/links/icons on a Web Browser (e.g., col. 4 lines 1-5, col. 5 lines 23-35) means a plurality of display (Web pages) can be displayed on one screen, or more than two Web pages/Applications/Programs/Images can be displayed on a single screen display (a Web Browser on a single monitor).